



Immingham Green Energy Terminal

9.19 Draft Statement of Common Ground between Associated British Ports and the Harbour Master, Humber

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010
Volume 9

March 2024

Version 1.0

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008

Document Reference: TR030008/EXAM/9.19





Status of the Statement of Common Ground

Associated British Ports considers that this draft Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) is an accurate description of the matters raised and the current status of each matter.

On Behalf of Associated British Ports

Name	
Position	Sustainable Development Manager
Organisation	Associated British Ports
Signature	

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.19

i





Table of contents

Chapter	Pages
1 Introduction	1
Overview	1
The Project	1
Parties to this Statement of Common Ground	1
Purpose and Structure of this Document	2
2 Summary of Engagement	3
Table 2-1 – Record of Engagement	
3 Matters Agreed and Matters Not Agreed	5
Table 3-1 - List of Matters Agreed, Matters Outstanding and Matters Not Agreed	
4 Glossary	13



1 Introduction

Overview

- 1.1 This Statement of Common Ground ("SoCG") has been prepared to accompany an application made to the Secretary of State for Transport (the Application") under section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 ("PA 2008") for a development consent order ("DCO") to authorise the construction and operation of the proposed Immingham Green Energy Terminal ("the Project").
- The Application is submitted by Associated British Ports (ABP). ABP was established in 1981 following the privatisation of the British Transport Docks Board. The **Funding Statement [APP-010]** provides further information on ABP as the Applicant.
- 1.3 The Project as proposed by ABP falls within the definition of a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project ("NSIP") as set out in Sections 14(1)(j), 24(2) and 24(3)(c) of the PA 2008.

The Project

- 1.4 ABP is seeking to construct, operate and maintain the Immingham Green Energy Terminal, comprising a new multi-user liquid bulk green energy terminal located on the eastern side of the Port of Immingham (the "Port").
- 1.5 The Project includes the construction and operation of a green hydrogen production facility, which would be delivered and operated by Air Products (BR) Limited ("Air Products"). Air Products will be the first customer of the new terminal, whereby green ammonia will be imported via the jetty and converted onsite into green hydrogen, making a positive contribution to the UK's net zero agenda by helping to decarbonise the United Kingdom's (UK) industrial activities and in particular the heavy transport sector.
- 1.6 A detailed description of the Project is included in **Chapter 2: The Project** of the Environmental Statement ("ES") [APP-044].

Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.7 The parties to this SoCG are (1) ABP (as the Applicant) and (2) the Harbour Master for the Humber ("HMH"), whose Unique Reference Number in these proceedings is 20047053. This SoCG covers the general topics listed on page F6 of the Examining Authority's **Rule 6 letter [PD-005]** dated 8 January 2004 (insofar as relevant) together with the specific topics directed at HMH and Humber Estuary Services ("HES") set out on page F7.
- 1.8 ABP is the promoter of the Project and the owner and operator of the Port of Immingham.
- 1.9 HES is the informal name (akin to a trading name) used by ABP in its capacity, not as the promoter of the Project and the owner and operator of the Port of Immingham, but as the separate Statutory Conservancy and Navigation Authority for the Humber Estuary ("the SCNA"). The abbreviations SCNA and HES denote the identical entity.



- 1.10 The Statutory Conservancy and Navigation Authority (SCNA) has statutory responsibility for the safe navigation of the river for all users and, as Competent Harbour Authority for the Humber, it trains pilots and stipulates pilotage requirements. Through VTS Humber, the SCNA monitors and regulates commercial vessel traffic on the Humber to ensure their safe passage whatever their destination on the Humber.
- 1.11 HMH is the statutory appointee of the SCNA, with overall responsibility for the management of SCNA's operations on the Humber and also has his own independent statutory powers¹.
- In this SoCG, ABP and HMH are referred to as "the Parties." 1.12

Purpose and Structure of this Document

- 1.13 The purpose of this document is to identify and summarise any agreement, disagreement or matters outstanding between the parties on matters relevant to the examination so as to assist the Examining Authority in its consideration of the Application.
- 1.14 In preparing this SoCG, the guidance provided in 'Planning Act 2008: examination of application for development consent' (Department for Communities and Local Government (as it then was), March 2015) has been fully taken into account. In addition, this SoCG has had due regard to the Examining Authority's Rule 6 letter [PD-005].
- 1.15 Section 1 of this SoCG is designed to act as a general introduction to the Project and to the parties concerned.
- 1.16 Section 2 of this SoCG sets out a summary of the correspondence and engagement between the parties to date.
- 1.17 Section 3 of this SoCG sets out the matters which have been agreed or which remain outstanding, together with any matters upon which it has not been possible to reach agreement.
- 1.18 The Tables in Section 3 use a colour coding system to indicate the status of the matters between the Parties as follows:
 - (a) Green matter agreed;
 - (b) Orange matter ongoing; and
 - (c) Red matter not agreed.

¹ The role of Harbour Master, Humber is quite separate from that of the Immingham Dock Master.



2 Summary of Engagement

- 2.1 A summary of the consultation and engagement between ABP and HMH up to the date of this SoCG in relation to the Project generally and concerning the matters raised in this SoCG specifically is presented in Table 2-1.
- It is agreed by the Parties to this SoCG that Table 2-1 is an accurate record of 2.2 the meetings and key correspondence between the Parties.

Table 2-1 – Record of Engagement

Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion
Pre-application		
30 August 2022	Email from ABP to HMH and HES Harbour Control Manager	Introducing project and attaching a briefing note.
10 October 2022	EIA Scoping Opinion was adopted by the Secretary of State	The SCNA was consulted at the EIA Scoping stage by the Planning Inspectorate.
9 January to 20 February 2023	First Statutory Consultation	HES/SCNA was consulted by ABP as part of the First Statutory Consultation.
11, 12 & 13 April 2023	HMH attendance at navigational simulations	HMH attendance at HR Wallingford.
10 May 2023	In Person Meeting	Attendance by HMH at the HAZID workshop.
24 May 2023 to 20 July 2023	Second Statutory Consultation	HES/SCNA was consulted by ABP as part of the Second Statutory Consultation.
29 August 2023	Email from ABP to HMH	Proposal for the DCO to include Protective Provisions very similar, if not identical, to those agreed for the Immingham Eastern Ro-Ro Terminal (IERRT) project.
Post DCO submi	ssion	
22 December 2023	Email exchange	ABP shared a first draft of the SoCG with HMH, asking for comments.
1 February 2024	Email exchange	A second draft of the SoCG with HMH was shared with HMH, asking for comments.
29 February 2024	Email exchange	HMH sent comments back on the draft SoCG to ABP.



Date		Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion
11 -12 March 2024	<u> </u>	ABP shared an updated draft of the SoCG with HMH which addressed their comments.
13 March 2024		HMH confirmed they agreed with the content and wording of the draft SoCG.

4



3 Matters Agreed and Matters Not Agreed

- 3.1 It is agreed that the record of engagement included in the Consultation Report [APP-022] submitted with the Application, accurately sets out the consultation and engagement undertaken between the Parties in relation to the Application. In particular, the following chapters:
- 3.1.1 Chapter 4 – First Statutory Consultation - HMH was consulted by ABP as part of their statutory obligations.
- 3.1.2 Chapter 5 – Second Statutory Consultation - HMH was consulted by ABP as part of their statutory obligations.
- 3.1.3 The ExA, in its Rule 6 letter [PD-005] of 8 January 2024, provided lists of general and party specific matters which should be covered as part of the SoCG process. The matters covered in this SoCG are shown below and addressed in Part 3:
 - The need for and the wording of any Protective Provisions to be included in the draft DCO (dDCO).
 - The adequacy of the Applicant's Navigational Risk Assessment (NRA), with particular regard to the assessment methodology employed, including the application of standards and guidance and the suitability of mitigation measures proposed.
 - The adequacy of the Applicant's Navigational Simulation Survey (NSS), including the methodology for undertaking the NSS, its conclusions and the suitability of the mitigation measures proposed.
 - Any cumulative or in-combination effects concerning the construction and operational phases for the proposed IGET.
 - Suitability of wording for securing mitigation within the dDCO.
- 3.2 Table 3-1 contains a list of 'matters agreed' (shaded green); a list of matters in respect of which discussion is ongoing (shaded orange) and a list of matters not agreed (shaded red) at the date of the Examination along with a concise commentary of what the item refers to and how it came to be agreed between the Parties (as applicable).





Table 3-1 - List of Matters Agreed, Matters Outstanding and Matters Not Agreed

ID	Matter	Reference	Harbour Master Humber Position	ABP Position	Status	Date
1	Role of ABP in its capacity as the SCNA for the Humber	Relevant Representation [RR-003]	ABP is the SCNA for the River Humber and, in respect of pilotage, the competent harbour authority for the River Humber with statutory responsibility for the regulation of vessel movements and navigational safety. ABP in this capacity appointed Captain Andrew Firman, the Harbour Master for the Humber. Both the conservancy and pilotage functions of ABP on the Humber are separate from, and independent of, ABP in its capacity as owner and operator of the Port of Immingham and as the Applicant for the Project.	ABP agrees with the explanation provided by HMH.	Agreed	1 December 2023
2	Draft Development Consent Order – Schedule 14 Protective Provisions, Part 1	2.1 Draft Development Consent Order [APP-006]	HMH has provided additional comments on the protective provisions for the SCNA in the dDCO. He notes that ABP has accepted his proposed amendments, and therefore considers the wording of those protective provisions in the current draft to be satisfactory. HMH considers that the disapplication of section 9 of the Humber Conservancy Act 1899 (licences for execution of works) in article 3 of the dDCO which provides the usual	Schedule 14, Part 1 of the draft Development Consent Order sets out the proposed protective provisions for the protection of the SCNA, as the usual statutory licencing procedure for tidal works has been disapplied by the dDCO. HMH has provided comments on those proposed protective provisions, all of which have been accepted by ABP. The next version of the draft Development Consent Order submitted to the Examining Authority will reflect this and	Agreed	31 January 2024

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.19

6







ID	Matter	Reference	Harbour Master Humber Position	ABP Position	Status	Date
			licensing regime for tidal works justifies the inclusion in the proposed order of a stream-lined approvals process for the protection of the SCNA.	ABP will provide the SCNA with a copy at the relevant time.		
3	Adequacy of NRA	6.4 Environmental Statement Appendices - Appendix 12.A: Navigational Risk Assessment [APP-191]	The HMH participated in the HAZID workshop and he considers the NRA to be fit for purpose, noting that further work will be required in due course to establish safe operating procedures for all vessels, including any new vessel types proposed to be introduced at the jetty. The HMH observes that the risk assessment methodology is broadly in line with the principles of the Port Marine Safety Code. The conclusions are consistent with what HMH would expect and the proposed mitigation measures appear to be reasonable, although the HMH reserves the right to change his position as the examination progresses. HMH notes that his own MarNIS risk assessment will be carried out in accordance with usual practice prior to the coming into operation of the new marine infrastructure and any additional requirements found to be necessary at that time must be met before operation is agreed. HMH has the following points of detail:	Authority (SHA) of the Port of Immingham.	Agreed	31 January 2024





ID	Matter	Reference	Harbour Master Humber Position	ABP Position	Status	Date
			At paragraph 1.2, HMH believes the reference to the Harbour Master is, in fact, to the Immingham Dockmaster and, at paragraph 3.7.2, the Harbour Master and VTS are referred to as "port personnel" which is incorrect.			
			Paragraph 4.2 suggests that there is no physical overlap between the Humber and Immingham SHAs whereas there are some areas over which each has jurisdiction.			
			Paragraph 4.2 could be read to suggest that there is a single MSMS for both Immingham and the Humber, whereas there are two separate Marine Safety Management Systems (MSMSs).			
			At table 11.3 (as in the table in Chapter 12 of the ES) the list of mitigation measures is not entirely accurate. Point (1) should refer to the Port MSMS, Humber Passage Plan (HPP), Humber Clean and Humber Estuary Serious Marine Emergency Plan, all of which will be updated to take the Project into account. Finally, Point (31) refers to "Harbour Works Consent" which would be disapplied by the dDCO. It should refer instead to the approval of tidal works etc. as set			







ID	Matter	Reference	Harbour Master Humber Position	ABP Position	Status	Date
			out in the protective provisions for the SCNA. HMH does not consider any of the above points to be material.			
4	Marine exclusion zone associated with the handling of ammonia at the jetty	6.4 Environmental Statement Appendices - Appendix 12.A: Navigational Risk Assessment [APP-191]	The HMH requires further information from ABP to ensure that potential risks to river users, in particular those associated with the handling of ammonia or carbon dioxide at the jetty are fully understood and addressed	ABP understands that the HMH requires further information in respect of the definition of the marine exclusion zone associated with handling ammonia at the jetty. That information is being collated prior to it being provided to the Harbourmaster. ABP is engaged in ongoing dialogue and work, including the necessary meetings and discussions, with the Harbourmaster to address his questions and requirements in this respect.	Ongoing	
5	Navigational simulations	6.4 Environmental Statement Appendices - Appendix 12.B: Navigational Simulation Survey [APP- 192]	The Harbourmaster attended the nautical simulations at HR Wallingford, who are recognised as being industry leaders in their field. The success of these simulations runs, coupled with the known hydrodynamic regime of this area, give the HMH confidence that this marine facility can be operated safely and successfully. HMH notes that, in his opinion, the Navigational Simulation Survey (NSS) was fit for purpose and the methodology followed was appropriate. The conclusions – that	The HMH's position is acknowledged.	Agreed	31 January 2024

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.19

9





ID	Matter	Reference	Harbour Master Humber Position	ABP Position	Status	Date
			the IGET project can be successfully and safely operated by the vessels simulated - are noted, as are the mitigation measures that have been proposed. Subject to the same minor points referred to above, the mitigation measures appear to be appropriate, but the HMH reserves the right to further alter and amend this text during the course of examination.			
6	Cumulative and incombination effects	Various 6.2 Environmental Statement - Chapter 25: Cumulative and In Combination Effects [APP- 067]	HES and the HMH serve the needs of all navigational users of the Humber. As is usual when there is any development in the river, HMH expects there to be close liaison between HES and the Immingham SHA, during the IGET construction process, as well as when it is in operation. The HMH is of the opinion that the IGET project – and its attendant vessels – can be readily assimilated into HES's existing processes for managing commercial vessels. In-combination effects with other marine construction sites will also be manageable by applying the same controls to ensure safe navigation for all users. HMH is comfortable that the additional traffic associated with IGET in combination with the IERRT facility (if authorised) is		Agreed	31 January 2024







ID	Matter	Reference	Harbour Master Humber Position	ABP Position	Status	Date
			well within the capacity of what the river can move in a regulated fashion.			
7	Rule 6 letter (Annex F)	Rule 6 Letter [PD-005] 6.2 Environmental Statement - Chapter 12: Marine Transport and Navigation [APP-054] 2.1 Draft Development Consent Order [APP-006]	In respect of the list of EIA topics on page F6 of the ExA's Rule 6 letter, HMH notes that his interest is limited to ensuring the safety of navigation for all vessels using the Humber whatever their destination. In relation to the suitability and deliverability of the mitigation measures in Table 12-6 of the Environmental Statement, HMH considers these measures adequate and deliverable through the existing mechanisms for ensuring navigational safety on the Humber, which are compliant with the Port Marine Safety Code and associated guidance rather than through prescriptive provisions in the dDCO. HMH also notes the following provisions in the dDCO which ensure that mitigation can be secured: • Article 49 – provision against danger to navigation;		Agreed	31 January 2024
			 Article 50 – lights on tidal 			

works during construction;Article 51 – permanent lights

on tidal works;





ID	Matter	Reference	Harbour Master Humber Position	ABP Position	Status	Date
			 Requirement 6 – compliance with CEMP; 			
			 Notice to Mariners; 			
			 Deemed Marine Licence – paragraph 6 – approval of details by MMO; 			
			 The protection for the SCNA conferred by the protective provisions in Part 1 of Schedule 14 to the dDCO, including: 			
			 Approval and inspection of tidal works under the protective provisions for the SCNA; 			
			 Discharges only with prior consent of the SCNA; 			
			 Protective works if required by the SCNA pursuant to the protective provisions; and 			
			 Safe operating procedure approved by the SCNA, as may be amended from time to time. 			





4 Glossary

Abbreviation / Acronym Definition

ABP Associated British Ports

DCO Development Consent Order

EIA Environmental Impact Assessment

ES Environmental Statement
HMH Harbour Master Humber
HPP Humber Passage Plan

MMO Marine Management Organisation
MSMS Marine Safety Management System

NRA Navigational Risk Assessment

NSIP Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project

NSS Navigational Simulation Survey

PA 2008 Planning Act 2008
PINS Planning Inspectorate

SCNA Statutory Conservancy and Navigation Authority

SHA Strategic Harbour Authority
SoCG Statement of Common Ground
SoS Secretary of State for Transport

UK United Kingdom